CAPTODATIVE SUBSTITUENT EFFECTS - PART XXII¹ RADICAL DEHYDRODIMERISATION AND BRIDGED DEHYDRODIMERISATION OF MACROCYCLIC POLYETHERS

M. Beaujean, S. Mignani, R. Merényi, Z. Janousek and H.G. Viehe Université de Louvain, Laboratoire de Chimie Organique, Place L. Pasteur, 1 B-1348 LOUVAIN-LA-NEUVE, Belgique

> M. Kirch and J.M. Lehn, Université L. Pasteur, 1, rue B. Pascal F-6700 STRASBOURG, France

> > (Received in UK 4 July 1984)

Abstract : Crown ethers are dehydrodimerized in good yields when treated with ditert.-butyl peroxide in UV light. Bridged dehydrodimers of crown ethers are obtained in the presence of a-tert.-butylmercaptoacrylonitrile. Cation extraction study was performed in order to compare the binding properties of dehydrodimers vs. monomers.

TNTRODUCTION

There is an increasing number of ligands which contain two or more macrocyclic moieties and such compounds are often characterized by very strong and/or unusual complexing properties^{2,3}. With this in mind, we have applied radical coupling to link two crown ethers together either directly via dehydrodimerisation or by inserting a four carbon atoms bridge through bridged dehydrodimerisation. Radical dehydrodimerisation (DHD)^{4,5,8}and bridged dehydromerisation (BDHD)^{6,7,9} processes have already been successfully applied to a plethora of compounds including alkanes, amines, amides, ethers, alkohols, thioethers, ketones, nitriles and aldehydes.

The BDHD method uses captodative (cd) olefins which efficiently trap the radicals R[®] formed from the substrates RH . The intermediary cd radical adducts can be observed by ESR¹⁰ and they dimerize to adduct dimers. The formation of dehydrodimers is completely absent under such conditions. The overall result amounts to an insertion of a four carbon unit between two radicals of the substrate RH.

DEHYDRODIMERISATION

The smooth **DHD⁵ and BDHD⁷ of dioxane, which may be considered as the first** member of the crown ether family, provzded the Impetus for the extension of these techniques to 12-0₄, 15-0₅ and 18-0₆ crown ethers.

Unlike with dioxane, however, the attempts to dehydrodimerize crown ethers using dltert. -butylperoxide (DTBP) at 140° without any solvent invariably led to complex mixtures including low amounts of desired dimers. The use of dltert.-butyl peroxalate DTPO, at 60°, equally in twenty fold excess of crown ether did not reveal any lmproval. Further attempts using photochemical decomposition of DTBP at 200 III **benzene** have successfully culminated in the synthesis of dehydrodimers la-c. The crown ethers have to be used in about 20:1 molar excess with respect to DTBP. Stoichiometric ratio of reactants results in a substantial decrease in yields of la-c, which are then accompanied by oligomers of crown ethers. Low yields of trimers of crown ethers as isomeric mixtures could be isolated from the residues of distillation.

Scheme 2

These new dimers $1a-c$ consist of a 1:1 mixture of meso and d, 1 forms 11,12 . Radicals deriving from the $18\text{-}o_6^{}$ crown ether have been postulated as arising from peroxydisulfate decomposition in the presence of this crown ether. They supposedly decay by a recombination with ${SO_4}^-$ radical-ions rather than by dimerisation 13 . 18-O₆ derived radicals are also involved in photochemical reactions with carbonyl compounds, but the yields are rather low $^{14}.$

BRIDGED DEHYDRODIMERISATION

When the hydrogen abstraction is performed photochemically in the presence of a-tert.-butylthioacrylonitrile 2, the bridged dehydrodimerisation takes place to furnish the corresponding adduct-dimers **3a.b. Even** higher yields can be obtained when ditert.-butyl peroxalate DTPO is decomposed in neat crown ethers at 60° .

Scheme 3

It should be noted that the four carbon bridge carries four functional groups which might be susceptible to various modificatrons. Till now, bridging of crown ethers was effected solely through functional groups already present at the benzene ring in benzocrown ethers 15,16

Bridged dlmers **3a,b** dissociate back to corresponding radical adducts upon heating, which ultimately leads to dismutation products 4a,b. This also implles that overheating must be avoided during the removal of excess starting crown ethers by bulb-to-bulb distillation. It IS therefore not surprisrng that in the case of the highest boiling $18-0_c$ crown ether only dismutation products are obtained.

The yields given refer to the mixture of 4a and 4b. The saturated product 4a consists of a mixture of diastereomers and the olefin 4b contains both the geometrical isomers E and Z. Expectedly, using DTBP at 140°, analogous dismuted products (Sab and 6ab) are also obtained with $12-0₄$ and $15-0₅$ crown ethers in 51 and 48 % yields. respectively.

CATION EXTRACTION PROPERTIES OF DIMERS 1b and 1c vs MONOMERS

Extraction of picrates of alkalı metal cations (Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺, C_s⁺) with **lb** and **1c** and the corresponding monomers 15-O₅, 18-O₆ was compared for different concentrations in the system water-chloroform. The results are represented graphically in Figure 1. Based on the concentrations of the complex in the organic phase, the extraction of the above-mentioned cations with **lb** is slightly more efficient than with the monomer **15-O 5'** The most interesting observation is that the dimer **lb** displays higher selectivity than the monomer 15-O₅ in favour of Na⁺, with respect to the other alkali cations. Furthermore, the dimer lc extracts better the cations L1⁺, Na⁺ and to a lesser degree K⁺, than does the monomer. This dimer also distinguishes more strongly between cations K⁺ and Rb⁺ than does the 18-O₆ crown ether. Neither the dimer la nor the monomer 12-O₄ gave any significant extraction of alkali picrates in the same conditions.

Figure 1. Graphical representation of the alkali picrate extraction abilities of the dimers 1 b and 1 c and of the corresponding monomers 15 -O_c and 18 -O_c as a function of ionic radius of the alkalı cations ; R = $\left[LM^{\dagger}P^{-}\right]^{org}$. $V^{org}/[L]_{\sim}$ org $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mathtt{M}^{\dagger} \end{smallmatrix} \right]^{aq}_{\mathtt{Q}}$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mathtt{L} \mathtt{M}^{\dagger} \mathtt{P}^{\dagger} \end{smallmatrix} \right]^{org}_{\mathtt{Q}}$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mathtt{L} \end{smallmatrix} \right]^{org}_{\mathtt{Q}}$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mathtt{M}^{\dagger} \end{smallmatrix} \right]^{aq}_{\mathtt{Q}}$ are respectively the concentration of complex in the organic phase and the initial concentrations of ligand in the organic phase and cation in the aqueous phase ; $v^{\texttt{org}}$ and $v^{\texttt{aq}}$ are the volumes of the organic and aqueous phases.

BXPBRIMBNTAL

NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian XL-200 spectrometer in CDCl, solution
stated otherwise. Multiplicity of 'C NMR signals is indicated for one bond couplings as S, D, T, Q, M and s, d, t, q, m. for long-range couplings. Mass spectra were registered on Varian MAT 44s spectrometer. unless

General **procedure for BDH of crown ethers** :

A benzene (160ml) solution of the corresponding crown ether (164 mmol) and DTBP (8.2 mmol)-molar ratio 20/l - IS irradiated under argon by a 150W high pressure Hg-lamp for 48 hrs at room temperature. Benzene is removed in vacuo and the₃excess
of the crown ether is removed by Kugelrohr distillation in high vacuum (10 ⁻ Torr). Further fractionation affords the **dlmers 1a.b.c as mixtures of meso and d,l.**

Dimer 12-0₄-12⁻04 1a: Slowly crystallizing oil, B.p. 140-45°/10⁻³ Torr; ¹H NMR
3.5-3.9(m)⁴; ¹C NMR (C_{D_C)} δ = 71.6-71.9, 72.0 (T); 80.5 and 80.8(D); MS: DCI
(isobutane) (M+1)⁻=351; Found: C, 54.31; H

Dimer 15-O₅-15-O₅ lb : 011 of 8.p. 180-85°/10⁻³ Torr ; ¹H NMR = 3.41-3.81(m) ; ¹³C
NMR (C₆D₆)⁵6 = 70.4-79.2(T) ; 79.9 and 80.0 (D) ; MS : EI M = 438 ; DCI (H_O)

 $(M+1)^+$ = 439.

Dimer 18-0,-18-0, lc : Oil of B.p. : 210-15°/10⁻³ Torr ; ¹H NMR = 3.42-3.84(m) ; C NMR $(C_{{}_c}^{0}D_{{}_c})$ 6 = 71.3-72.0 (T), 80.2-80.3 (D) ; MS : DCI (isobutane) (M+1) =527.

General Procedure for BDBD of crown ethers : Procedure A : crown ether (0.1 mol), 2 (1.41g, 0.01 mol) and DTPO (1.17g, 0.005 mol) are placed in an ampoule which is degassed in three freeze-thaw **cycles,** sealed and heated to 60° for 8 hrs. Excess crown ether is removed as indicated above and the residue is recrystallized or chromatographed.

Procedure B : Crown ether (O.Smol), 2 (1.4lg, 0.01 mol) and DTBP (0.739, 0.005 mol) are irradiated in 160 ml benzene as indicated for la-c including the work-up. **Procedure C** leading to dismutation products : Same as for A using 0.739 DTBP and heating to 140° for 12 hrs.

3a and 3b, recryst. from ether gives one₃diastereomer each 3a _{3,} M.p. 191°. ¹H NMR 3a and 3b, recryst. from ether gives one ₃diastereomer each 3a ₃M.p. 191°.
6=1.60(s,18H) ; 1.47(dd,2H) J=14.0 Hz, J=8.8 Hz ; 2.45 (dd,2H) J <2Hz ; 3.7-3.8(m,28H) ; 4.15(dd,2H) ; MS : DCI(isobutane) (M+l) ~633 ; 577. 521, 473, 417, 374, 318, 262, 177, 57, 41; Found : C, 56.82 ; H, 8.25 : S, 10.27 i 0, 20.40. C_{30} H₅₂S₃N₂O₈ requires : C, 56.93 ; H, 8.28 ; S, 10.13 ; O, 20.22.

3b : M.p. ether, M.p. 18s-83°. 1~ NMR 6=1.60(~,18H) ; 1.47(dd,2H) 2J=14.2 Hz, J=8.8 Hz ; 2.48(dd,2H) 3 J $<$ 2 Hz ; 3.75-3.9(m,36H), 4.13(dd,2H) ; MS : DCI (isobutane) (M+l)+=721 ; 665, 631, 501, 461, 418, 362, 336, 306, 195, 133. 57, 41 i Found : C, 56.10 ; H, 8.29 ; S, 9.08 ; 0, 22.96. C₃₄H₆₀S₂N₂O₁₀ requires : C, 56.64 F3 8.38 ; S, 8.89 ; 0, 22.19. C NMR of **3a** and **3b** (in brackets), for nymbering see Scheme 3.
CH₂), : δ = 31.8 (31.5) Q sept ; -CH₂-C⁻), : 41.8(41.4) Tm ; CMe₃ : 50.9(50.6) Sm

54.5(54.1) Sm ; Ring carbons $:C_1$: 69.5) Tm ; C_2 70.&, 70.8, 5(54.1) Sm ; Ring carbons : ~C ₁ : ~69.5) Tm ; C ₂ : 74.0(73.2) Tm ; C ₃ :
Dm and at δ = 70₃3, 70.4, 70.6, 70.8, 71.0(70.2, 70.3, 70.4, 70.6, 70.8) Tm ; **-** 7033, 70.4, CN : 116.6(116.4) Sd, J=lO Hz.

Dismuted products 4ab. Sab, 6ab are isolated by column chromatography as 1:l mixtures of a and **b.** They represent viscous oils and no further separation was attempted at this moment. The components were characterized by the NMR spectra of
the mixtures. 4a, 5a and 6a : "H NMR 6 = t, t-Bu : 1.40,s ; -CH₂- : 1.8-2.3, m ; S-CH-CN H NMR $\delta = 1.40$, s; $-CH_2 - 1.8 - 2.3$, m; and ring protons : 3.6-3.7, m. ¹³C NMR of 6a (mixture of threo and erythro ounce and interest of the contract of the contract of the sept. ; C-CH₂-C : 35.9, 36.6
forms) S-CH-CN : 6 = 25.7, 26.1 Dm ; C(CH₂) ; 3.7 Q. sept. ; C-CH₂-C : 35.9, 36.6
Tm ; CMe₃ : 44.8, 44.9 Sm ; ring CH₂ : 69.5 120.9, 131.7 sm. 44.9 Sm ; ring CH₂

4b (5b, 6b) $:$ 1 H ; HC= H NMR of the E isomer δ $\frac{1}{7}$, t-Bu : 1.40, s ; ring protons : 3.6-3.7, m ; HC= 6.56 (6.66, 6.60), d, J = 9 Hz. ⁻ C NMR for **6b,** E (**6b, 2** in brackets) δ =
C(CH)₃: 30.07(31.0) Q.sept.; CMe₃: 47.8(48.7) Sm, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ = 4Hz, 69.5-72.8 Tm; ring
CH: 76.5-78.3(75.2) Dm₃=C(CN)SR: 107.8

Acknowledgements : We thank the "Ministère de la Programmation Scientifique" for a grant (79/84-13). Further we are indebted to IRSIA and to Rhbne-Poulenc Industries for a grant to M.B. and S.M., respectively.

REPERENCES

- 1. Captodative Substitution Effect Part XXI : S. Piettre, 2. Janousek and H.G. Viehe, Tetrahedron, submitted.
- 2. J.S. Bradshaw and P.E. Stott, Tetrahedron 36, 461 (1980)
- 3. J.M. Lehn, Pure 6 Appl. Chem. 52, 2303 and 2441 (1980) and references quoted
- 4. P. Gouverneur, Ind. Chim. Belge 39, 329 and 467 (1974)
- 5. H. Naarmann,M. Beaujean, R. Merenyi and H.G. Viehe, Polymer Bulletin 2, 363 and 417 (1980)
- 6. H.G. Viehe, R. Merenyi, L. Stella, 2. Janousek, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2, 917 (1979)
- ⁷. S. Mignani, R. Merényi, Z. Janousek and H.G. Viehe, Tetrahedron 37, Suppl.1. 111 (1981).
- 8. M. Beaujean, Ph.D. Thesis, Louvain-la-Neuve in preparation.

9. s. Hignani, Ph.D. Thesis, Louvain-la-Neuve, 1982.

- 1O.L. Stella, R. Merényi, Z. Janousek, H.G. Viehe, P. Tordo, A. Munoz, J. Phys. Chem. 84, 304 (1980).
- 11.H. Naarmann, Z. Janousek, H.G. Viehe, M. Beaujean, R. Merényi, European Pat. N° 0 024 293, 9 July 1980.
- 12.After this work was completed, an independent synthesis **of** lS, 1'S dimer lb, from t-threitol has been published : A.V. Bogatsky, N.G. Lukyanenko, A.V. Lobach. N.Y. Nasarova and L.P. Karpenko, Synthesis 139 (1984).
- 13.J.K. Rasmussen, S.M. Heilmann, P.E. Toren, A.V. Pocius and T.A. Kotnour, J. Am. Chem. Sot. 105, 6845 (1983)
- 14. M. Tada and E. Hirano, Tetrahedron Lett. 5111 (1978)
- 15.See for example H. Wong, Synthetic Commun. $\underline{10}$, 385 (1980) ; S. Shinkai, T. Ogawa, **Y. Kusano** and 0. Manabe, Chem. Lett. 283 (1980)
- 16.T.W. Bell, G.M. Lern, H. Nakamura and D.J. Cram, J. Org. Chem. 42, 4278 (1983).